

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/034,715	12/26/2001	Stephen D. Pacetti	ACS 58289 (2709P)	1521	
7590 03/02/2004			EXAMINER		
	ATTON LEE & UTEC	IMAM, ALI M			
	GHES CENTER		4.071017	DAREN MEN ARER	
6060 CENTER	DRIVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
10TH FLOOR		3737	12		
LOS ANGELES	S', CA 90045			, -	

DATE MAILED: 03/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No		Applicant(s)	-y			
Office Action Summary		10/034,715		PACETTI, STEPHEN D.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Ali Imam		3737				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status					•			
1) 🛛 F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 De</u>	ecember 2001.			•			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3) 🗌 🖇	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
C	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle,	1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Dispositio	n of Claims							
4) 🛛 (Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.							
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
·	5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.							
·								
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application	n Papers							
•	he specification is objected to by the Examine				-			
•	10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 December 2001</u> is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)∐ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	•			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •								
Attachment(, –	1	(DTO 440)				
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) [4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.					
	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 2.3.8.	5) <u> </u>	Notice of Informal Pa Other:	atent Application (PT	O-152)			

. Application/Control Number: 10/034,715

Art Unit: 3737

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1-16, 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Biagtan et al. (US 6,451,026 B1) or Gosiengfiao et al. (US 6,612,998 B2).

Biagtan teaches in Figs. 1-22 and cols. 4-10 or Gosiengfiao teaches in Figs. 1-7 and cols. 4-6, a method or system for performing an intracorporeal procedure within a patient comprising the steps or structures providing a guide wire/intracorporeal device that is MRI compatible wherein the guide wire includes an elongated core with a non-conductive proximal core section, an essentially non-magnetic distal core section, and an MRI visible magnetic marker on the distal core section; introducing the guide wire into a body lumen of the patient; advancing the intracorporeal device under MRI observation; performing therapeutic or diagnostic procedure.

Art Unit: 3737

The specific limitations of the dependent claims concerning the structures and constructing materials of the intracorporeal/guide wire device are inherently taught by the disclosures of Biagtan or Gosiengfiao in order to provide a MRI compatible guide wire/intracorporeal device.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 17-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Biagtan et al. (US 6,451,026 B1) in view of Wang et al. (US 5,951,494) or Gosiengfiao et al. (US 6,612,998 B2) in view of Wang et al. (US 5,951,494).

Biagtan or Gosiengfiao teaches all the limitations of the claimed subject matter except for mentioning specifically a torque transmitting junction.

Wang teaches a torque transmitting junction (col. 16, lines 11). Wang further teaches that the torque transmitting junction is used for reducing the metal interference in MRI procedure (col. 16, lines 20-22).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to an ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to use the torque transmitting junction of Wang in either Biagtan's or Gosiengfiao's intracorporeal device in order to reduce metal interference during MRI procedure.

Art Unit: 3737

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ali Imam whose telephone number is 703-305-0028. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Th., 8:00- 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dennis Ruhl can be reached on 703-308-2262. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ali Imam

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3737

AMI 2/21/2004